c/o Noble School PTA Noble Elementary School 1293 Ardoon Street Cleveland Hts., OH 44121 March 31, 1997

Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commissioners c/o Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street N.W., Room 222 Washington, DC 20554

Dear Chairman Hundt and Commissioners:

Re: CS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34

I am writing on behalf of the National PTA and the Noble School PTA to oppose the v-chip rating system presented by Jack Valenti, Chair of the TV Rating Implementation Group, on January 17, 1997. The age-based rating system this group proposes lacks sufficient information about content for parents to decide which programs their children ought or ought not to watch. Major surveys conducted this fall by the National PTA, U.S News & World Report, and Media Studies Center/Roper show that parents overwhelmingly prefer rating systems that give them information about the content of programs. Valenti's age-based system allows the TV industry to interpret what is appropriate for children; parents, however, want to decide for themselves what is best in this matter. I reject any rating system that fails to include content descriptions on the screen and in TV scheduling guides.

The FCC, by law, is required to rule whether the TV industry's rating system conforms with the Telecommunications Act of 1996. I do not believe this system does so and ask that the FCC not approve it. Instead, I request that the FCC push for a rating system that includes information about content such as V (for violence), S (for depiction of sex and nudity), and L (for profane or objectionable language). I also request that the rating board be independent of both the TV industry and the FCC and that it include parents. Finally, I ask that any rating system approved by the FCC be evaluated by independent research to determine if it meets the needs of parents.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

L. Smith-Peterson

Mary Ellen Sagartz 1237 Chester Ln. Elk Grove, IL 60007

April 2, 1997

Chairman Reed Hundt and the FCC Compassioners

Dear Mr. Hundt and FCC Commissioners:

I am writing to let you know that I am opposed to age-based ratings of television programs. This type of rating does NOT provide parents, like myself, and families the information about the content of the program, which is very important for parents to know. As a parent, I want to know in advance, what a program will contain as far as

violent content, sexual content, and adult language is concerned.

I would favor a rating system that is based on descriptive content, such as V, S, and L, with an additional rating of intensity such as: "occasional," "frequent," or "widespread" to help clarify the content. This type of rating would allow me to decide what program content is acceptable for my children. Parents need to be more responsible for their children and this would be one way that would accomplish that. Thank you.

Sincerely.

Mary Ellen Sagartz

Maryeller Sagarty

RE: CS Docket # 97-55, FCC 97-34

Indrews Elementary P.T.A.

April | March 19

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Chair Reed Hundt and CC Commissioners c/o Fral Communications Commission 19 M Street N.W., Room 222 Winington, DC 20554

Chairman Hundt and Commissioners:

R CS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34

This letter is written on behalf of the National PTA and the Andrews Elementary PTA of Portland, Texas to voice opposition to the v-chip rating system as presented by Jack Valenti, Chair of the TV Rating Implementation Group, on January 17, 1997. The rating symbol on the TV screen does not provide sufficient content information so that parents can make decisions about what is appropriate TV programming for their children. Parents do not want the TV industry to interpret what is best for their children. Parents want to make those choices themselves based on content information about the program.

The FCC, by law, is required to determine whether the industry's rating system has met statutory requirements of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. We do not believe this system does so and ask that the FCC not approve the industry's rating system. We request the following:

*That the FCC should not approve the industry's rating system and should accept no rating system that does not include content information about programs such as V (for violence), S (sexual depiction and nudity) and L (for language);

*That the FCC require a V-chip band broad enough that would allow parents to receive more than one rating system;

*That the rating icon on the TV screen be made larger, more prominently placed on the screen, and appear more frequently during the course of the program;

*That the rating board be independent of the industry and the FCC and that it include parents; and

*That any rating system approved by the FCC be evaluated by independent research to determine if it meets the needs of par

Andrews Elementary countries you for any counity to count on comportant to our children and courses.

Sincerel

Portland Texas

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL
Lopez Elementary School PTA 637 Wabash Street Fort Collins, CO 80526

March 31, 1997

Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commissioners c/o Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street N.W., Room 222 Washington, DC 20554

RE: CS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34

Dear Chairman Hundt and Commissioners:

I am writing on behalf of the National PTA and the Lopez Elementary School PTA to voice my opposition to the V-chip rating system as presented by Jack Valenti, Chair of the TV Rating Implementation Group, on January 17, 1977. The rating symbol on the TV screen does not provide sufficient content information so that parents can make decisions about what is appropriate TV programming for their children. Major surveys released this fall which demonstrate overwhelming parent preference for a rating system that gives parents information about the content of programs were conducted by the National PTA, U.S. News and World Report, and Media Studies Center/Roper. Parents do not want the TV industry to interpret what is best for their children. Parents want to make those choices themselves based on content information about the program. Any rating system without content descriptions on the screen and publicized in periodicals that carry TV scheduling is useless.

The FCC, by law, is required to determine whether the industry's rating system has met statutory requirements of the Telecommunications Act of 1966. I do not believe this system does so and ask that the FCC not approve the industry rating system. Instead, we request the following:

- That under no circumstances should the FCC approve the industry's rating system. Further, the FCC should accept no rating system that does not include content information about programs such as V (for violence), S (for sexual depiction and nudity) and L (for language);
- That the FCC require a V-chip band broad enough that would allow parents to receive more than one rating system:
- That the rating icon on the screen be made larger, more prominently placed on the screen, and appear more frequently during the coarse of a program:
- That the rating board be independent of the industry and the FCC and that it include parents; and
- That any rating system approved by the FCC be evaluated by independent research to determine if it meets the needs of parents.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment on an issue so important to children and families.

Sincerely,

Aral Campos

(Name)

(1231 Starflower Dr.

(Address)

H. Callins, Co

Julie Dougherty 6001 N. W Klamm Kansas City, MO 64152 April 1, 1997

Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commissioners C/O Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street NW, Room 222 Washington, DC 20554

Dear Sir:

I am opposed to the television rating system as it is misleading to parents who don't know the content of these programs. It is obvious from their reputation that the television industry does not have our values nor our interests at heart; so for us to trust their judgment in such matters is ludicrous (and I think that's what the rating system is encouraging parents to do).

Sincerely,

Julie Dougherty

March 31, 1997

Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commissioners c/o Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street NW, Room 222 Washington, DC 20554

Dear Chairman Hundt and Commissioners:

RE: CS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34

We are writing on behalf of the Park Hill High School PTA in Kansas City, MO to voice our opposition to the V-chip rating system as presented by Jack Valenti, Chair of the TV Rating Implementation Group on January 17, 1997. We feel the rating symbol on the TV screen lacks sufficient content information so that parents can make decisions about what is appropriate for our children. Parents want to make the decisions based on the content information not what the TV industry interprets is best for children.

Our request is that the FCC does not approve the industry's rating system and should not accept a rating system that does not include content information about programs such as V(violence), S (sexual) and L(language). Furthermore, the V-chip band should be broad enough that it would allow parents to receive more than one rating system, be placed more prominently on the screen and appear more frequently during the course of the program.

The rating board should be independent from the industry and the FCC. This board should include parents.

Any rating system approved by the FCC should be evaluated periodically by an independent research group to determine if the parent needs are being met.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment on this issue that is so important to our families.

Sincerely,

Park Hill High School PTA

Kansas City, MO

Thin Bruing

qualendrewe hatse Ball

Kurell Beaver

Deri Richert are

Deboal

* lainer

Jung Sund

April 2, 1997

Dear Mr. Hundt,

As a parent of seven children, ages 2 - 16, I am deeply concerned about the rating system currently used by the television industry.

As a parent I am greatly involved in all aspects of my children lives, both in the community and in the schools system.

My husband and I put great value in raising our children to be responsible adults. We encourage them to do well in school, be good citizens, and to be responsible for their decisions.

Over the years we have learned that raising children, we have learned we can not be every place, all the time and appreciate the concept that it "takes a whole village to raise a child". We have learn to appreciate all the people and programs that influence their lives for the good - including those involved in the entertainment industry.

While we do encourage our children to be selective in their T.V. watch. We also feel that television is one of the many doors to the world. Through the media our children have learned many things. As parents it requires a constant effort on our part to be aware of what is on, and what fits into our family values.

That is why current rating system concerns me.

As parents we need a **tool** - one that will help us understand **at a glance** what the contents of particular show is. The current rating system presented by Mr. Valenti is based on a **standard of age**. This tells me nothing about the **content**, such as violence, sexual depiction and nudity or language. I need to know what is in a program itself.

Please support me in my role as a parent, by giving me the RIGHT tool.. Let me be the parent, allow me to set the standard for what is appropriate for my children. By supporting me in my role, and putting the power and responsibility back in my hands, you **empower** me as a parent to determine what is best for my children.

I would **encourage** you and those involved in this decision to 1) make the rating board independent for the industry, and include parents as part of the decision making process 2) understand the **power** of having a rating system that would include content information 3) make the rating icon, "**user friendly**", larger, easily seen, and appearing more frequently during a program.

Thank you for your time -

Georgeana M Cook 1379 Juniper Dr. Logan UT 84321

To: Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commissioners c/o Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street N.W., Room 222 Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Chairman Hundt and Commissioners:

RE: CS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34

I oppose the V-chip rating system proposed by Jack Valenti, Chair of the TV Rating Implementation Group. The rating symbol does not provide sufficient information for parents to make a knowledgeable decision about the program they are about to watch. Major surveys released this fall which demonstrate overwhelming parent preference for a rating system that gives parents information about the content of programs were conducted by the National PTA, U.S. News and World Report, and Media Studies Center/Roper. Parents do not want the TV industry to interpret what is best for their children. Parents want to make those choices themselves based on content information about the program. Any rating system without content descriptions on the screen and publicized in periodicals that carry TV scheduling is useless.

The FCC, by law is required to determine whether the industry's rating system has met statutory requirements of the Telecommunication Act of 1996. I do not believe this system does so and ask that the FCC not approve the industry rating system. Instead I request the following:

- That under no circumstances should the FCC approve the industry's rating system. Further, the FCC should accept no rating system that does not include content information about programs such as V-violence, S-sexual depiction and nudity, and L-language;
- That the FCC require a V-chip band broad enough that would allow parents to receive more that one rating system;
- That the rating icon on the TV screen be made larger, more prominently placed on the screen, and appear more frequently during the course of a program;
- That the rating board be independent of the industry and the FCC and that it include parents; and
- That any rating system approved by the FCC be evaluated by independent research to determine if it meets the needs of parents.

Thank you for letting myself and others make recommendations for a better tommorrow for our families.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Mancy Grant 519 E. Deer Houow Circle

Centerville, Utan 84014

March 1997

Madden of the second

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commissioners c/o Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street N.W., Room 222 Washington, DC 20554

Dear Chairman Hundt and Commissioners:

I am (we are) writing on behalf of the National PTA and the Evergreen School, Scotch Plains, NJ PTA trict, or state PTA) to voice my (our) opposition. Valenti, Chair of the TV Rating Implementation Group, on January 17, 1997. The rating symbol on the TV screen does not provide sufficient content information so that parents can make decisions about what is appropriate TV programming for their children. Major surveys released this fall which demonstrate overwhelming parent preference for a rating system that gives parents information about the content of programs were conducted by the National PTA, U. S. News and World Report, and Media Studies Center/Roper. Parents do not want the TV industry to interpret what is best for their children. Parents want to make those choices themselves based on content information about the program. Any rating system without content descriptions on the screen and publicized in periodicals that carry TV scheduling is useless.

The FCC, by law, is required to determine whether the industry's rating system has met statutory requirements of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. I (we) do not believe this system does so and ask that the FCC not approve the industry rating system. Instead, we request the following:

- That under no circumstances should the FCC approve the industry's rating system. Further, the FCC should accept no rating system that does not include content information about programs such as V (for violence), S (for sexual depiction and nudity) and L (for language);
- That the FCC require a V-chip band broad enough that would allow parents to receive more than one rating system;
- That the rating icon on the TV screen be made larger, more prominently placed on the screen, and appear more frequently during the course of a program;
- That the rating board be independent of the industry and the FCC and that it include parents; and
- That any rating system approved by the FCC be evaluated by independent research to determine if it meets the needs of parents.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment on an issue so important to children and families.

Sincerely,

Morma Delepine 2275 Lyde Place Scotch Plains NJ

April 1997

Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Comissioners c/o Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street N.W., Room 222 Washington, DC 20554

Dear Chairman Hundt and Commissioners:

RE: CS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34

We are writing on behalf of the National PTA and the District PTA to voice our opposition to the V-chip rating system as presented by Jack Valenti, Chair of the TV Rating Implementation Group, on January 17, 1997. The rating symbol on the TV screen does not provide sufficient content information so that parents can make decisions about what is appropriate TV programming for their children. Major surveys released this fall which demonstrate overwhelming parent preference for a rating system that gives parents information about the content of programs were conducted by the National PTA, U.S News and World Report, and Media Studies Center/Roper. Parents do not want the TV industry to interpret what is best for their children. Parents want to make those choices themselves based on content information

about the program. Any rating system without content descriptions on the screen and publicized in periodicals that carry TV scheduling is useless.

The FCC, by law, is required to determine whether the industry's rating system has met statutory requirements of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. We do not believe this system does so and ask that the FCC not approve the industry rating system. Instead, we request the following:

- That under no circumstances should the FCC approve the industry's rating system. Further, the FCC should accept no rating system that does not include content information about programs such as V (for violence), S (for sexual depiction and nudity) and L (for language);
- That the FCC require a V-chip band broad enough that would allow parents to receive more than one rating system;
- That the rating icon on the TV screen be made larger, more prominently placed on the screen, and appear more frequently during the course of a program;
- That the rating board be independent of the industry and the FCC and that it include parents; and
- That any rating system approved by the FCC be evaluated by independent research to determine if it meets the needs of parents.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment on an issue so important to children and families.

Komanie & Larry Murdock
Pocatello, Idaho 83201-2595

2032 Beth Street

Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commissioners c/o Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street N.W., Room 222 Washington DC 20554

Dear Chairman Hundt and Commissioners:

Re: CS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34

I am writing on behalf of the National PTA and the Farmington, Utah Elementary PTA to voice my opposition to the v-chip rating system as presented by Jack Valenti, Chair of the TV Rating Implementation Group, on January 17, 1997. The rating symbol on the TV screen does not provide sufficient information for me to make a knowledgeable decision about the program my child is going to watch.

My son is eight years old and I do not want him watching violence, nudity, sex or hearing foul language while watching TV. He does not watch cartoons because I feel these are some of the worst offenders of showing violence on TV. I make the decisions about which programs my son should watch and I would like to have a system that shows if a program is going to show violence, sex, nudity, or use foul language.

Please help me as a parent to know what is going to be shown on the TV by changing the rating system to show what the content of a program is going to be. A V for violence, an N for nudity, and L for language and an S for sexual content would be most helpful.

Thank you for allowing me to comment on this issue.

Thank you,

Joni Carter

Member of the Farmington Elementary PTA

P.O. Box 843

Farmington, UT 84025

Crow Carter

Chairman Reed Hunt and FCC Commissioners 40 Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street N.W., Parm 222 Washington, DC 20554

Re: CS Docket # 97-55, FCC 97-34

Dear FCC Commissioners:

I am writing as a nember of the National PTA and my local school PTA in Kansas City, Missouri. I want to express my opposition to the 'U-Clip' rating system as presented by Jack Valenti; chair of the TV Rating Implementation group in January of this year.

I would strongly preter a system which provides dearly under strong content information, about each TV program.

Thank you for you consideration of my tetter.

Sencoly, Amald RM ann

Donald P Moran 5716? NF Tarkin Koma 64118

for Chairman Rood thinds and H. D. Commissioners

From Pain and Steve Boshard

Opportable Committee (1994)

20 CONTRACT OF STANFORD

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

We as parents are verting on behalf of the Natural PTA and the Empanogos Dementars School 21 A which is one following the block of the Color of the Empanogos Dementars School 23 A which is one followed to the block of the Color of the Colo

Major surveys released last fall which demonstrate over the hinting parent preference for a reting system that gives parents information about the content of programs were conducted by the National PTA, U.S. Now- and World Report of the his Studies Center Roper. We as majorised onto want the a V industry to interprete when so seed on our changes. We want to make a now choices based on content afformation along the programs. The rating so done of their content descriptions on the screen and publication is content. That cather is schedular, consequent

This rating takes place in the movie industry. The agree varighting G. 2G-17, each and it does not give up proper information follows to be the characteristic busy constructions will be appropriate for a large seal biblious.

The PCC, by law, as required an esternic evaluation the leadastric's rating system has met statutory requirements of the Telecommunications Act at 1986. We do not believe this system does so and ask that the PCC not approve the industry rating in term. Instead, we request the following

- 1. That under no circumstances should the LCC approve the industry's rating system. Forther, the ECC should accept no rating system that does not include content information about occurant such as V (violence). Seexual depiction, not the land 1. languages:
- 2. That the PCC require a M-chip hand brown their obtained to fallow parents to receive more than one rating system.
- 3. That the rating feet on the TV screen be made large more prominently placed on the screen, and appear more frequently during the course of coronner.
- 4. That the rating possed be improposed on the results and topics to and teal is inclined expense.
- 5. That any rating system approved by the experience evaluated by independent research to determine if it meets the needs of parents.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment on the Essie that is so important to as and all of America's children and families?

Binevely Steve Boshard

LYONS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT 103

Serving the communities of Brookfield, Forest View, Lyons, McCook, Stickney

Working Together To Expand Student Opportunities

DOCKET FILE COPY OFIGINALE Avenue

Lyons, Illinois 60534 (708) 780-2495 FAX (708) 780-2495

MICHAEL E. MERCER Superintendent JAMES S. RICK Assistant Superintendent

April 1, 1997

Chairman Reed Hundt c/o Federal Communications Commission 1919 M. Street, N.W., Room 222 Washington, DC 20554

RE: CS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34

Dear Chairman Hundt:

It has been brought to my attention by the local PTA that the FCC is on the verge of mandating the V-chip in conjunction with a program rating system.

I am in agreement with the position taken by the National PTA. I agree that a descriptive content based rating system would better provide parents information which would allow them to make informed choices.

We appreciate the efforts of the FCC to provide controls for this all too powerful national media industry. I would also appreciate your reconsideration of the proposed rating system.

Sincerely,

Michael E. Mercer Superintendent

MEM:ks

supt\ltrs\pta-tv

March 31, 1997

Donna Neibaur 803 East 1150 North Pleasant Grove, UT 84062

Mr. Reed Hundt/FCC Commission Office of the Secretary 1919 M Street N.W. Room 222 Washington, DC 20554

Dear Mr. Hundt:

I am writing to express my views on the proposed age-based TV rating system. (CS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34) I belong to the National PTA as well as my local school PTA, Grovecrest Elementary in Pleasant Grove. I do not feel that the rating symbol on the TV screen will provide sufficient information for parents and children to make wise decisions about the programs they watch. Quite honestly, in our home, we rarely watch network television because of the sex, violence and bad language. My children will watch public television. There are good educational programs that we do invite.

The FCC should not approve this proposal. I want to see more specific symbols given to the consumer that lets families know if there is V (violence) S (sex), and L (bad language). The FCC should require a V-chip band broad enough that would allow parents to receive more than one rating system. The rating board should be independent of the industry and the FCC.

Parents WANT to be involved with these ratings. Parent MUST be involved with what is necessary for our children today. Please do not ignore our plea!!

Sincerely,

Donna Neibaur

nna Meibar

March 1997

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commissioners c/o Federal Communications Commission 1919 M street N.W. Room 222 Washington, DC 20554

Dear Chairman Hundt and Commissioners:

Re: CS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34

I am writing on behalf of the National PTA and the Collinsville Council to voice my opposition to the v-chip rating system as presented by Jack Valenti, Chair of the TV Rating Implementation Group, on January 17, 1997. The rating symbol on the TV screen does not provide sufficient content information so that parents can make decisions about what is appropriate TV programming for their children. Major surveys released this fall which demonstrate overwhelming parent preference for a rating system that gives parents information about the content of programs were conducted by the National PTA, U.S. News and World Report, and Media Studies Center/Roper. Parents do not want the TV industry to interpret what is best for their children. Parents want to make those choices themselves based on content information about the program. Any rating system without content descriptions on the screen and publicized in periodicals that carry TV scheduling is useless.

The FCC, by law, is required to determine whether the industry's rating system has met statutory requirements of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. I do not believe this system does so and ask that the FCC not approve the industry rating system. Instead, we request the following:

*That under no circumstances should the FCC approve the industry's rating system. Further, the FCC should accept no rating system that does not include content information about programs such as V(for violence), S(for sexual depiction and nudity) and L(for language);

*That the FCC require a V-chip band broad enough that would allow parents to receive more than one rating system;

*That the rating icon on the TV screen be made larger, more prominently placed on the screen, and appear more frequently during the course of a program;

*That the rating board be independent of the industry and the FCC and that it include parents:and

*That any rating system approved by the FCC be evaluated by independent research to determine if it meets the needs of parents.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment on an issue so important to children and families.

Sincerely,

Judy Mirai Pricalent Simiolis F-7 Collaborable, 201. April 4,1997

Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commission c/o Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street N.W.,Room 222 Washington,D.C. 20554

Dear Chairman Hundt and Commissioners:

RE: CS Docket No.97-55, FCC97-34

I am writing on behalf of the national PTA and the CGISD PTA to voice my opposition to the V-chip rating presented by Jack Valenti, Mr. Valenti's rating is an insult!

We, as parents, want the V-S-L rating and I can't understand why the television industry doesn't too! Plain and simple, with this rating THE INDUSTRY can direct their money's and recieve the most profits. By observing what ratings are least watched you can avoid those particular shows and increase the profits.

Makes sense to me!...Duh!!

You're happy. We're happy.

Alhbodean

Sincerely,

Rosemary Thibodeau 200 Springridge Ln. Euless, Tx. 76039 Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commissioners c/o Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street N. W., Room 222 Washington, DC 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RE: CS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34

VL.

We are writing as members of the Cook Elementary PTA in Fort Smith, Arkansas to voice our opposition to the v-chip rating system as presented by Jack Valenti, Chair of the TV Rating Implementation Group, on January 17, 1997. The rating symbol on the TV screen does not provide sufficient content information so that parents can make decisions about what is appropriate TV programming for their children. Major surveys released this fall which demonstrate overwhelming parent preference for a rating system that gives parents information about the content of programs were conducted by the National PTA, U.S. News and World Report, and Medical Studies Center/Roper. Parents do not want the TV industry to interpret what is best for their children, Parents want to make those choices themselves based on content information about the program. Any rating system without content descriptions on the screen and publicized in periodicals, that carry TV scheduling is useless.

The FCC, by law, is required to determine whether the industry's rating system has met statutory requirements by the Telecommunications Act of 1996. We do not believe this system does so and ask that the FCC not approve the industry rating system. Instead, we request the following:

- That under no circumstances should the FCC approve the industry's rating system. Further, the FCC should accept no
 rating system that does not include content information about programs such as V (for violence), S (for sexual depiction
 and nudity), and L (for language);
- That the FCC require a V-chip band broad enough that would allow parents to receive more than one rating system;
- That the rating icon on the TV screen be made larger, more prominently placed on the screen, and appear more frequently during the course of a program;
- That the rating board be independent of the industry and the FCC and that it include parents; and
- That any rating system approved by the FCC be evaluated by independent research to determine if it meets the needs of parents.

Sincerely,	
Name My Le	Name Vickie Cross
Address 3217 Holyoke Ave.	Address 10508 Jenny Lind
City/State/Zip F.T. Smith AR, 72908	City/State/Zip Pt Smith, Al 72908
Name Mancy Robertson	Name
Address 1801 Rannoch Trace	Address
City/State/Zip H. Smith, AR 72908	City/State/Zip
Name Janela Over	Name
Address 9108 Broken Cale	Address
City/State/Zip H Junt 12908	City/State/Zip
Name Brenda Smith	Name
Address 21/7 Laberile Dr	Address
City/State/Zip Greenwa, AP 72936	City/State/Zip

Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commissioners c/o Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street N. W., Room 222 Washington, DC 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RE: CS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34

We are writing as members of the Cook Elementary PTA in Fort Smith, Arkansas to voice our opposition to the v-chip rating system as presented by Jack Valenti, Chair of the TV Rating Implementation Group, on January 17, 1997. The rating symbol on the TV screen does not provide sufficient content information so that parents can make decisions about what is appropriate TV programming for their children. Major surveys released this fall which demonstrate overwhelming parent preference for a rating system that gives parents information about the content of programs were conducted by the National PTA, U.S. News and World Report, and Medical Studies Center/Roper. Parents do not want the TV industry to interpret what is best for their children, Parents want to make those choices themselves based on content information about the program. Any rating system without content descriptions on the screen and publicized in periodicals, that carry TV scheduling is useless.

The FCC, by law, is required to determine whether the industry's rating system has met statutory requirements by the Telecommunications Act of 1996. We do not believe this system does so and ask that the FCC not approve the industry rating system. Instead, we request the following:

- That under no circumstances should the FCC approve the industry's rating system. Further, the FCC should accept no rating system that does not include content information about programs such as V (for violence), S (for sexual depiction and nudity), and L (for language);
- That the FCC require a V-chip band broad enough that would allow parents to receive more than one rating system;
- That the rating icon on the TV screen be made larger, more prominently placed on the screen, and appear more frequently during the course of a program;
- That the rating board be independent of the industry and the FCC and that it include parents; and
- That any rating system approved by the FCC be evaluated by independent research to determine if it meets the needs of parents.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment on an issue so important to children and families.

Sincerely,

Name John Wegenha Ct	Name
Name John Wegenhe It Address 24 Brentwood Cf	Address
City/State/Zip Ft Smith, 12 72988	City/State/Zip
Name Marles Pawels	Name
Address 3201 Ransgott way	Address
City/State/Zip A. Smith Ar 72908	City/State/Zip
Name Alga Ninter Address 3400 Duke Ave #53	Name
Address 3400 Duke Ave #53	Address
City/State/Zip Ff. South AR 72908	City/State/Zip
Name Gloria Ciation	Name
Address 10 Brent wood Ci	Address
City/State/Zip Ft- Smith for 72908	City/State/Zip

April 1, 1997

Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commissioners c/o Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street N. W., Room 222 Washington, DC 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RE: CS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34

We are writing as members of the Cook Elementary PTA in Fort Smith, Arkansas to voice our opposition to the v-chip rating system as presented by Jack Valenti, Chair of the TV Rating Implementation Group, on January 17, 1997. The rating symbol on the TV screen does not provide sufficient content information so that parents can make decisions about what is appropriate TV programming for their children. Major surveys released this fall which demonstrate overwhelming parent preference for a rating system that gives parents information about the content of programs were conducted by the National PTA, U.S. News and World Report, and Medical Studies Center/Roper. Parents do not want the TV industry to interpret what is best for their children, Parents want to make those choices themselves based on content information about the program. Any rating system without content descriptions on the screen and publicized in periodicals, that carry TV scheduling is useless.

The FCC, by law, is required to determine whether the industry's rating system has met statutory requirements by the Telecommunications Act of 1996. We do not believe this system does so and ask that the FCC not approve the industry rating system. Instead, we request the following:

- That under no circumstances should the FCC approve the industry's rating system. Further, the FCC should accept no rating system that does not include content information about programs such as V (for violence), S (for sexual depiction and nudity), and L (for language);
- That the FCC require a V-chip band broad enough that would allow parents to receive more than one rating system;
- That the rating icon on the TV screen be made larger, more prominently placed on the screen, and appear more frequently during the course of a program;
- That the rating board be independent of the industry and the FCC and that it include parents; and
- That any rating system approved by the FCC be evaluated by independent research to determine if it meets the needs of parents.

Sincerely,	
Name Jehl and Kesser	Name LARRY & MELISSA BANDY
Address 9911 Glen Flore Critice	Address 2105 RANNOCH TRITCE
City/State/Zip Ftdwith All 22908	City/State/Zip F7. 5M17H, AR 12908
Name Mule Ekelly Cardwell Address 9801 Munofield Car.	Name Charlene & Eugene Atannama Address 8802 So. 35 th terrace
City/State/Zip 1159000	City/State/Zip ft Smith AR 72908
Name Directly Flories	Name Carl Martin
Address 505 Donder Of	Address 3213 Holyoke
City/State/Zip H. 5m to 12 72908	City/State/Zip FT. Smith ARK 72908
Name Jack Hill	Name
Address 3122 Kondall Ave	Address
City/State/Zip Ft Smith AR 72908	City/State/Zip

Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commissioners c/o Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street N. W., Room 222 Washington, DC 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RE: CS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34

We are writing as members of the Cook Elementary PTA in Fort Smith, Arkansas to voice our opposition to the v-chip rating system as presented by Jack Valenti, Chair of the TV Rating Implementation Group, on January 17, 1997. The rating symbol on the TV screen does not provide sufficient content information so that parents can make decisions about what is appropriate TV programming for their children. Major surveys released this fall which demonstrate overwhelming parent preference for a rating system that gives parents information about the content of programs were conducted by the National PTA, U.S. News and World Report, and Medical Studies Center/Roper. Parents do not want the TV industry to interpret what is best for their children, Parents want to make those choices themselves based on content information about the program. Any rating system without content descriptions on the screen and publicized in periodicals, that carry TV scheduling is useless.

The FCC, by law, is required to determine whether the industry's rating system has met statutory requirements by the Telecommunications Act of 1996. We do not believe this system does so and ask that the FCC not approve the industry rating system. Instead, we request the following:

- That under no circumstances should the FCC approve the industry's rating system. Further, the FCC should accept no
 rating system that does not include content information about programs such as V (for violence), S (for sexual depiction
 and nudity), and L (for language);
- That the FCC require a V-chip band broad enough that would allow parents to receive more than one rating system;
- That the rating icon on the TV screen be made larger, more prominently placed on the screen, and appear more frequently during the course of a program;
- That the rating board be independent of the industry and the FCC and that it include parents; and
- That any rating system approved by the FCC be evaluated by independent research to determine if it meets the needs of parents.

Sincerely,	
Name Di akakin	Name Scott + Dores Willbanks
Address 20) Remopute (De	Address 3406 Erun Oaks Ct.
City/State/Zip Ft Sm.th HP 73907	City/State/Zip Jt. Smith, AR 72908
Name Ken Teimm	Name Rona Alber
Address 10200 SEUED OAKS	Address 9704 Croxed
City/State/Zip Ff Smth Az 72908	City/State/ZipPS, Ar. 72908
Name Debra Brown	Name Tonnia CORLER
Address 2109 Rannoch Trace	Address Blood ROOSPURLY
City/State/Zip Fort Smith, all 72908	City/State/Zip PL South DRK 72904
Name Dorothy M. Carrace	Name Deniver Hammon
Address 33/1 Eton Street	Address 3304 Crossfull Pd
City/State/Zip Fl Smill, ar. 72908	City/State/Zip Fd Amy H QP 73903

April 1, 1997

Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commissioners c/o Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street N. W., Room 222 Washington, DC 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RE: CS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34

We are writing as members of the Cook Elementary PTA in Fort Smith, Arkansas to voice our opposition to the v-chip rating system as presented by Jack Valenti, Chair of the TV Rating Implementation Group, on January 17, 1997. The rating symbol on the TV screen does not provide sufficient content information so that parents can make decisions about what is appropriate TV programming for their children. Major surveys released this fall which demonstrate overwhelming parent preference for a rating system that gives parents information about the content of programs were conducted by the National PTA, U.S. News and World Report, and Medical Studies Center/Roper. Parents do not want the TV industry to interpret what is best for their children, Parents want to make those choices themselves based on content information about the program. Any rating system without content descriptions on the screen and publicized in periodicals, that carry TV scheduling is useless.

The FCC, by law, is required to determine whether the industry's rating system has met statutory requirements by the Telecommunications Act of 1996. We do not believe this system does so and ask that the FCC not approve the industry rating system. Instead, we request the following:

- That under no circumstances should the FCC approve the industry's rating system. Further, the FCC should accept no rating system that does not include content information about programs such as V (for violence), S (for sexual depiction and nudity), and L (for language);
- That the FCC require a V-chip band broad enough that would allow parents to receive more than one rating system;
- That the rating icon on the TV screen be made larger, more prominently placed on the screen, and appear more frequently during the course of a program;
- That the rating board be independent of the industry and the FCC and that it include parents; and
- That any rating system approved by the FCC be evaluated by independent research to determine if it meets the needs of parents.

Sincerely,	
Name Gretchen Rideout	Name Junes Molin
Address 1606 Burnham Ra	Address 3-109 Brown DR
City/State/Zip Ft, Smith, AR	City/State/Zip Ft. 5mith AR 72908
Name Donna Diseversos	Name
Address 1024 Martin	Address
City/State/Zip	City/State/Zip
Name 10/ 115 = 5/2 108	Name
Address A	Address
City/State/Zip	City/State/Zip
Name 1 1110 1110	Name
Address 3300 vin a 100	Address
City/State/Zip City/State/Zip	City/State/Zip

Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commissioners c/o Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street N. W., Room 222 Washington, DC 20554 DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RE: CS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34

We are writing as members of the Cook Elementary PTA in Fort Smith, Arkansas to voice our opposition to the v-chip rating system as presented by Jack Valenti, Chair of the TV Rating Implementation Group, on January 17, 1997. The rating symbol on the TV screen does not provide sufficient content information so that parents can make decisions about what is appropriate TV programming for their children. Major surveys released this fall which demonstrate overwhelming parent preference for a rating system that gives parents information about the content of programs were conducted by the National PTA, U.S. News and World Report, and Medical Studies Center/Roper. Parents do not want the TV industry to interpret what is best for their children, Parents want to make those choices themselves based on content information about the program. Any rating system without content descriptions on the screen and publicized in periodicals that carry TV scheduling is useless.

The FCC, by law, is required to determine whether the industry's rating system has met statutory requirements by the Telecommunications Act of 1996. We do not believe this system does so and ask that the FCC not approve the industry rating system. Instead, we request the following:

- That under no circumstances should the FCC approve the industry's rating system. Further, the FCC should accept no
 rating system that does not include content information about programs such as V (for violence), S (for sexual depiction
 and nudity), and L (for language);
- That the FCC require a V-chip band broad enough that would allow parents to receive more than one rating system;
- That the rating icon on the TV screen be made larger, more prominently placed on the screen, and appear more frequently during the course of a program;
- That the rating board be independent of the industry and the FCC and that it include parents; and
- That any rating system approved by the FCC be evaluated by independent research to determine if it meets the needs of parents.

Sincerely,	
Name Mark Webb	Name
Address 10116 Seven Oaks	Address
City/State/Zip Ff. 5 mith, AR 72908	City/State/Zip
Name Nancy Webb	Name
Address 10116 Seven Oaks	Address
City/State/Zip Ft Smith AR 72908	City/State/Zip
Name Michell Hamon	Name
Address 300 Canon gate Way	Address
City/State/Zip H. Strith, Or. 72908	City/State/Zip
Name Billy Hamon Address 3 300 Canon gate Way	Name
Address 3300 Canon gate Way	Address
City/State/Zip 21 Sm. Ah. (4. 72908	City/State/Zip

April 1, 1997

Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commissioners c/o Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street N. W., Room 222 Washington, DC 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RE: CS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34

We are writing as members of the Cook Elementary PTA in Fort Smith, Arkansas to voice our opposition to the v-chip rating system as presented by Jack Valenti, Chair of the TV Rating Implementation Group, on January 17, 1997. The rating symbol on the TV screen does not provide sufficient content information so that parents can make decisions about what is appropriate TV programming for their children. Major surveys released this fall which demonstrate overwhelming parent preference for a rating system that gives parents information about the content of programs were conducted by the National PTA, U.S. News and World Report, and Medical Studies Center/Roper. Parents do not want the TV industry to interpret what is best for their children, Parents want to make those choices themselves based on content information about the program. Any rating system without content descriptions on the screen and publicized in periodicals that carry TV scheduling is useless.

The FCC, by law, is required to determine whether the industry's rating system has met statutory requirements by the Telecommunications Act of 1996. We do not believe this system does so and ask that the FCC not approve the industry rating system. Instead, we request the following:

- That under no circumstances should the FCC approve the industry's rating system. Further, the FCC should accept no rating system that does not include content information about programs such as V (for violence), S (for sexual depiction and nudity), and L (for language);
- That the FCC require a V-chip band broad enough that would allow parents to receive more than one rating system;
- That the rating icon on the TV screen be made larger, more prominently placed on the screen, and appear more frequently during the course of a program;
- That the rating board be independent of the industry and the FCC and that it include parents; and
- That any rating system approved by the FCC be evaluated by independent research to determine if it meets the needs of parents.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment on an issue so important to children and families.

Sincerely,

Name JANICE L MIOCKE	Name DERRY RIVIC CORMICE
Address. 2913 (ANDNGATE WAY	Address 9805 BROADWEU
City/State/ZipFORT SMITH AR 72908	City/State/Zip FT. SMITH AR 72908
Name Pat Sparks	Name MAYTHA CDIVIN
Address 3425 Braebun Ilen	Address BRIV Joliet Ave
City/State/Zip H. Smith, as 72908	City/State/Zip H South AR 72908
Name AMY NOW + KINK NOWA	Name Richard & Link Cellin
Address 10'00 Dundle (+	Address 2816 Huther Oaks Way
City/State/Zip Ft SMHM AR 72908	City/State/Zip F1 Smith, AR 72908
Namo Butch Hombuch	Name
Address 3316 Queensbury Way	Address
City/State/Zip Ft Smith July 12908	City/State/Zip

April 1, 1997

Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commissioners c/o Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street N. W., Room 222 Washington, DC 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RE: CS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34

We are writing as members of the Cook Elementary PTA in Fort Smith, Arkansas to voice our opposition to the v-chip rating system as presented by Jack Valenti, Chair of the TV Rating Implementation Group, on January 17, 1997. The rating symbol on the TV screen does not provide sufficient content information so that parents can make decisions about what is appropriate TV programming for their children. Major surveys released this fall which demonstrate overwhelming parent preference for a rating system that gives parents information about the content of programs were conducted by the National PTA, U.S. News and World Report, and Medical Studies Center/Roper. Parents do not want the TV industry to interpret what is best for their children. Parents want to make those choices themselves based on content information about the program. Any rating system without content descriptions on the screen and publicized in periodicals that carry TV scheduling is useless.

The FCC, by law, is required to determine whether the industry's rating system has met statutory requirements by the Telecommunications Act of 1996. We do not believe this system does so and ask that the FCC not approve the industry rating system. Instead, we request the following:

- That under no circumstances should the FCC approve the industry's rating system. Further, the FCC should accept no
 rating system that does not include content information about programs such as V (for violence), S (for sexual depiction
 and nudity), and L (for language);
- That the FCC require a V-chip band broad enough that would allow parents to receive more than one rating system;
- That the rating icon on the TV screen be made larger, more prominently placed on the screen, and appear more frequently during the course of a program;
- That the rating board be independent of the industry and the FCC and that it include parents; and
- That any rating system approved by the FCC be evaluated by independent research to determine if it meets the needs of parents.

Sincerely,	
Name Curistica L Core	Name am Ubertsin
Address 3024 Edunburgh Dr	Address 2501 Reyal Scots Way
City/State/Zip FtSmith, AR 72908	City/State/Zip & Smith AK 72908
Name Name Address Scott Smith A Charles	Name Us Reference Address 250/Reyal Scots Way City/State/Zip F. Smith, an 72908
Name Bursmin	Name
Address 6300 So. Harry Jane	Address
City/State/Zip_Int Smith, UR. 72903	City/State/Zip
Name	Name
Address	Address
City/State/Zip	City/State/Zip